Pages:47-52
Volume-III, Issue-IV (2022)

Effectiveness of Photoactivated Disinfection Compared to Conventional Irrigation Techniques in Eliminating Resistant Endodontic Pathogens

Dr Mehak Trehan
BDS,India
Corresponding Email: dr.mehaktrehan@gmail.com

Abstract

Persistent endodontic infections are often caused by resistant microbial species that survive conventional irrigation techniques, posing significant challenges to successful root canal therapy. Traditional irrigants, including sodium hypochlorite, chlorhexidine, and EDTA, may be limited in their ability to fully eradicate these pathogens, particularly within complex root canal anatomies and biofilms. Photoactivated disinfection (PAD) has emerged as an innovative adjunctive approach, employing photosensitizers activated by specific light wavelengths to generate reactive oxygen species that effectively disrupt microbial cell walls and biofilms. Studies indicate that PAD can enhance microbial reduction, including resistant species, compared to conventional irrigation alone, while minimizing cytotoxic effects on periapical tissues. Despite promising results, considerations such as optimal photosensitizer selection, light delivery methods, and clinical standardization remain critical for routine application. Overall, PAD represents a novel and effective strategy for improving root canal disinfection and addressing persistent endodontic infections.

Keywords: Photoactivated disinfection, PAD, Endodontic pathogens, Root canal therapy, Biofilm disruption, Conventional irrigation, Resistant microbes.

I. Introduction

Successful root canal therapy largely depends on the effective elimination of microbial pathogens from the root canal system. Resistant microorganisms, particularly those embedded in biofilms, pose significant challenges to complete disinfection and are often associated with persistent endodontic infections (Singh, 2020). Conventional irrigation techniques, including sodium hypochlorite, chlorhexidine, and EDTA, remain the standard for root canal decontamination; however, their efficacy may be limited in complex canal anatomies or against resilient pathogens such as *Enterococcus faecalis* (Balakrishna et al., 2017; Mittal et al., 2020).

Photoactivated disinfection (PAD) has emerged as a promising adjunctive approach, utilizing photosensitizers activated by specific light wavelengths to generate reactive oxygen species that disrupt microbial cell walls and biofilm structures (Sin et al., 2021; Pourhajibagher & Bahador, 2018). Studies comparing PAD with conventional irrigation indicate that PAD can significantly reduce microbial load, including resistant species, either alone or in combination with standard irrigants (Bago et al., 2013; Kohli et al., 2021). The use of PAD offers potential advantages such as targeted antimicrobial action, reduced cytotoxicity, and improved disinfection in areas that are difficult to reach with conventional irrigants.

Given these considerations, evaluating the effectiveness of PAD relative to conventional irrigation techniques is crucial to optimize root canal disinfection and enhance clinical outcomes. Recent research highlights the need for evidence-based integration of PAD into endodontic protocols to address persistent infections and improve long-term treatment success (Mittal et al., 2020; Balakrishna et al., 2017).

II. Mechanism of Photoactivated Disinfection

Photoactivated disinfection (PAD) is a non-thermal antimicrobial technique that combines a photosensitizing agent with a specific light source to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) that target microbial cells (Singh, 2020). The process begins with the application of a photosensitizer, which selectively binds to microbial cell membranes and biofilm matrices. Upon exposure to light of an appropriate wavelength, typically delivered via a diode or laser system, the photosensitizer transitions to an excited state, resulting in the production of singlet oxygen and free radicals (Sin et al., 2021; Mittal et al., 2020). These reactive species cause oxidative damage to microbial cell walls, cytoplasmic membranes, and intracellular components, leading to cell death (Bago et al., 2013).

PAD is particularly effective against resistant endodontic pathogens, such as *Enterococcus faecalis*, due to its ability to penetrate biofilms and disrupt bacterial colonies within complex root canal anatomies (Balakrishna et al., 2017; Kohli et al., 2021). Studies have also demonstrated that PAD can enhance the antimicrobial efficacy of conventional irrigation techniques when used in combination, providing a synergistic effect in reducing microbial load (Mittal et al., 2020; Pourhajibagher & Bahador, 2018). The mechanism of PAD, therefore, relies on the photochemical generation of ROS, targeted microbial binding, and biofilm disruption, making it a promising adjunctive approach for improving root canal disinfection.

Pages:47-52
Volume-III, Issue-IV (2022)

III. Comparative Efficacy

Several studies have investigated the effectiveness of photoactivated disinfection (PAD) relative to conventional irrigation techniques in eliminating resistant endodontic pathogens. In vitro and clinical studies demonstrate that PAD, when used alone or in combination with conventional irrigants, can achieve significant reductions in microbial load, including species such as *Enterococcus faecalis* (Balakrishna et al., 2017; Mittal et al., 2020). Bago et al. (2013) reported that PAD showed comparable or superior antimicrobial activity to high-power diode lasers and conventional irrigation, particularly in disrupting biofilms within complex canal anatomies. Similarly, Sin et al. (2021) highlighted that the selection of effective photosensitizers and optimized light parameters significantly enhances PAD efficacy. In randomized clinical trials, combining PAD with standard irrigation protocols resulted in more consistent microbial elimination than either method alone (Mittal et al., 2020; Pourhajibagher & Bahador, 2018). Furthermore, Kohli et al. (2021) demonstrated that PAD could act synergistically with sonic-activated irrigation, improving penetration into dentinal tubules and reducing residual bacterial populations. Despite these promising outcomes, Singh (2020) emphasized that variations in methodology, photosensitizer types, and light sources may influence results, underlining the need for standardized protocols. Overall, the comparative evidence suggests that PAD enhances the antimicrobial effectiveness of root canal disinfection, offering a valuable adjunct to conventional irrigation techniques.

IV. Clinical Implications

Photoactivated disinfection (PAD) offers a promising adjunctive approach for enhancing root canal disinfection, particularly against resistant endodontic pathogens such as *Enterococcus faecalis* (Balakrishna et al., 2017; Kohli et al., 2021). By employing photosensitizers activated by specific light wavelengths, PAD generates reactive oxygen species that selectively target microbial cells while minimizing cytotoxic effects on surrounding periapical tissues (Sin et al., 2021). Clinical studies demonstrate that PAD, either alone or in combination with conventional irrigation, significantly improves microbial reduction in both primary and persistent endodontic infections (Mittal et al., 2020; Pourhajibagher & Bahador, 2018). The integration of PAD into endodontic protocols may provide more predictable disinfection, particularly in complex canal anatomies and areas inaccessible to traditional irrigants (Bago et al., 2013). However, considerations such as appropriate photosensitizer selection, light delivery parameters, and cost-effectiveness remain important for routine clinical adoption (Singh, 2020). Overall, PAD represents a safe and effective adjunct to conventional irrigation techniques, with potential to enhance treatment outcomes in resistant or challenging endodontic infections.

V. Conclusion

Photoactivated disinfection (PAD) demonstrates a significant potential to enhance root canal disinfection, particularly against resistant endodontic pathogens, compared to conventional irrigation techniques alone. By employing photosensitizers activated by specific light wavelengths, PAD generates reactive oxygen species that disrupt microbial cell walls and biofilms, effectively reducing bacterial load within the root canal system (Sin et al., 2021; Pourhajibagher & Bahador, 2018). Comparative studies indicate that PAD, either as a standalone approach or combined with traditional irrigants, provides superior antimicrobial efficacy against persistent organisms such as *Enterococcus faecalis* (Mittal et al., 2020; Balakrishna et al., 2017; Kohli et al., 2021). While conventional irrigants remain essential for mechanical debridement and chemical disinfection, integrating PAD can overcome some limitations associated with resistant microbes and complex canal anatomies (Singh, 2020; Bago et al., 2013). Future research should focus on optimizing photosensitizer selection, light delivery protocols, and standardizing clinical application to fully realize the benefits of PAD in routine endodontic practice. Overall, PAD represents a valuable adjunctive strategy for improving microbial control and enhancing the success of root canal therapy.

References

1. Singh, S. (2020). Irrigation Dynamics in Endodontics: Advances, Challenges and Clinical Implications. Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biological Research, 8(02), 26-32.
2. Mittal, R., Tandan, M., & Jain, V. (2020). A randomized clinical trial of antimicrobial efficacy of photoactivated disinfection, conventional endodontic irrigation and their combination in primary endodontic infections. International Journal of Oral Health Sciences, 10(2), 94-101.
3. Bago, I., Plečko, V., Gabrić Pandurić, D., Schauperl, Z., Baraba, A., & Anić, I. (2013). Antimicrobial efficacy of a high-power diode laser, photo-activated disinfection, conventional and sonic activated irrigation during root canal treatment. International endodontic journal, 46(4), 339-347.
4. Sin, J. H. M., Walsh, L. J., Figueredo, C. M., & George, R. (2021). Evaluation of effectiveness of photosensitizers used in laser endodontics disinfection: A systematic review. Translational Biophotonics, 3(1), e202000007.
5. Balakrishna, N., Moogi, P., Kumar, G. V., Prashanth, B. R., Shetty, N. K., & Rao, K. R. (2017). Effect of conventional irrigation and photoactivated disinfection on Enterococcus faecalis in root canals: An: in vitro: study. Journal of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, 20(2), 125-128.

6. Pourhajibagher, M., & Bahador, A. (2018). An in vivo evaluation of microbial diversity before and after the photo-activated disinfection in primary endodontic infections: Traditional phenotypic and molecular approaches. Photodiagnosis and photodynamic therapy, 22, 19-25.
7. Heidari, Amirmohammad & Mashayekhi, Yashar. (2022). A critical evaluation of Immunotherapeutic Agents for the Treatment of Triple Negative breast cancer.
8. Kohli, T., Mehta, N., Garima, G., Gupta, A., Mahesh, S., Abraham, D., & Singh, A. (2021). Comparative evaluation of photoactivated disinfection and sonic irrigation as an adjunct to conventional irrigation on Enterococcus faecalis in root canals: An: in vitro: study. Endodontology, 33(1), 15-19.
9. Azmi, S. K. (2021). Riemannian Flow Analysis for Secure Software Dependency Resolution in Microservices Architectures. *Well Testing Journal*, *30*(2), 66-80.
10. Mansur, S., & Beaty, L. (2019). CLASSROOM CONTEXT STUDY Technology. *Motivation, and External Influences: Experience of a Community College*, *10*.
11. Bodunwa, O. K., & Makinde, J. O. (2020). Application of Critical Path Method (CPM) and Project Evaluation Review Techniques (PERT) in Project Planning and Scheduling. *J. Math. Stat. Sci*, *6*, 1-8.
12. MANSUR, S. (2018). Crimean Tatar Language. *Past, Present, and Future*.
13. Mansur, S. (2018). Mind and artificial intelligence. *City University of New York. LaGuardia Community College*.
14. Adebayo, I. A., Olagunju, O. J., Nkansah, C., Akomolafe, O., Godson, O., Blessing, O., & Clifford, O. (2020). Waste-to-Wealth Initiatives: Designing and Implementing Sustainable Waste Management Systems for Energy Generation and Material Recovery in Urban Centers of West Africa.
Mansur, S. Community Colleges as a Smooth Transition to Higher Education.
15. Azmi, S. K. (2021). Spin-Orbit Coupling in Hardware-Based Data Obfuscation for Tamper-Proof Cyber Data Vaults. *Well Testing Journal*, *30*(1), 140-154.
16. Sharma, A., & Odunaike, A. DYNAMIC RISK MODELING WITH STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND REGIME-SWITCHING MODELS.
17. Azmi, S. K. (2021). Computational Yoshino-Ori Folding for Secure Code Isolation in Serverless It Architectures. *Well Testing Journal*, *30*(2), 81-95.
18. YEVHENIIA, K. (2021). Bio-based preservatives: A natural alternative to synthetic additives. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL, 1(2), 056-070.
19. Sehgal, N., & Mohapatra, A. (2021). Federated Learning on Cloud Platforms: Privacy-Preserving AI for Distributed Data. *International Journal of Technology, Management and Humanities*, *7*(03), 53-67.
20. Azmi, S. K. (2021). Delaunay Triangulation for Dynamic Firewall Rule Optimization in Software-Defined Networks. *Well Testing Journal*, *30*(1), 155-169.

21. AZMI, S. K. (2021). Markov Decision Processes with Formal Verification: Mathematical Guarantees for Safe Reinforcement Learning.
22. Asamoah, A. N. (2022). Global Real-Time Surveillance of Emerging Antimicrobial Resistance Using Multi-Source Data Analytics. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF APPLIED PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES AND RESEARCH, 7(02), 30-37.
23. Azmi, S. K. (2022). Green CI/CD: Carbon-Aware Build & Test Scheduling for Large Monorepos. *Well Testing Journal*, *31*(1), 199-213.
24. OKAFOR, C., VETHACHALAM, S., & AKINYEMI, A. A DevSecOps MODEL FOR SECURING MULTI-CLOUD ENVIRONMENTS WITH AUTOMATED DATA PROTECTION.
25. Sunkara, G. (2022). AI-Driven Cybersecurity: Advancing Intelligent Threat Detection and Adaptive Network Security in the Era of Sophisticated Cyber Attacks. *Well Testing Journal*, *31*(1), 185-198.
26. Azmi, S. K. (2022). From Assistants to Agents: Evaluating Autonomous LLM Agents in Real-World DevOps Pipeline. *Well Testing Journal*, *31*(2), 118-133.
27. Odunaike, A. DESIGNING ADAPTIVE COMPLIANCE FRAMEWORKS USING TIME SERIES FRAUD DETECTION MODELS FOR DYNAMIC REGULATORY AND RISK MANAGEMENT ENVIRONMENTS.
28. Akomolafe, O. (2022). Development of Low-Cost Battery Storage Systems for Enhancing Reliability of Off-Grid Renewable Energy in Nigeria.
29. AZMI, S. K. (2022). Bayesian Nonparametrics in Computer Science: Scalable Inference for Dynamic, Unbounded, and Streaming Data.
30. Sunkara, G. (2022). AI-Driven Cybersecurity: Advancing Intelligent Threat Detection and Adaptive Network Security in the Era of Sophisticated Cyber Attacks. *Well Testing Journal*, *31*(1), 185-198.
31. Shaik, Kamal Mohammed Najeeb. (2022). Security Challenges and Solutions in SD-WAN Deployments. SAMRIDDHI A Journal of Physical Sciences Engineering and Technology. 14. 2022. 10.18090/samriddhi.v14i04..
32. Azmi, S. K. (2022). Computational Knot Theory for Deadlock-Free Process Scheduling in Distributed IT Systems. *Well Testing Journal*, *31*(1), 224-239.